THE MILK OUTPUT OPTIMISER

A management tool for New Zealand dairy farmers

> Oscar Dowson, Andy Philpott Andrew Mason, Anthony Downward

Department of Engineering Science University of Auckland

5 Million

20 Billion

25 %

\$14 billion



Small improvements are big improvements







http://bizplan-uz.com/upload/medialibrary/d62/babcock_38.jpg





Farmers make complicated decisions under uncertainty





E-Cow has been validated for New Zealand pastoral farming systems



Objective: maximise profit

Score target at the end of the year

By Varying: the quantity of supplement each week and the length of lactation











Q: Is the optimal policy sensitive to the price of supplement?

Q: Is the optimal policy sensitive to the price of supplement?A: Yes. The ratio of supplement to milk price is critical.

Q: Does optimisation add value?

+\$4.50/year

Q: Does optimisation add value?

A: Not always. Simple solutions

may be close to optimal.

MOO III: VARIABLE LACTATION, FINITE SUPPLEMENT

Q: Are high intensity farms more profitable than low intensity farms?

MOO III: VARIABLE LACTATION, FINITE SUPPLEMENT

Lactation Length

MOO III: VARIABLE LACTATION, FINITE SUPPLEMENT

MOO III: VARIABLE LACTATION, FINITE SUPPLEMENT

Q: Are high intensity farms more profitable than low intensity farms?

A: Yes. But there is a diminishing return.

CONCLUSIONS

With the assumptions and parameters contained in MOO:

- 1. We can optimise supplementation and lactation length
- 2. Optimisation does add value, although simple policies may be just as good
- 3. At current prices, supplementation for milk production may have small returns
- 4. At current prices, supplementation to increase lactation length is beneficial

OUESTIONS